arXiv:1601.03509v1 [math.DG] 14 Jan 2016

Nullity distributions on real hypersurfaces in non-flat complex space forms

KONSTANTINA PANAGIOTIDOU

Abstract

In this paper the result of real hypersurfaces in non-flat complex space forms, whose structure vector field ξ belongs to the κ-nullity distribution is extended in case of three dimensional real hypersurfaces in non-flat complex space forms. Furthermore, generalization of notion (κ,μ)-nullity distribution defined on real hypersurfaces and results of real hypersurfaces, whose structure vector field ξ belongs to the previous distribution are provided. Finally, the notion of (κ,μ,ν)-nullity distribution is introduced in case of real hypersurfaces in non-flat complex space forms and real hypersurfaces, whose structure vector field ξ belongs to the previous distribution are studied.

Keywords: Real hypersurfaces, Non-flat Complex Space Forms, Nullity Distributions, Structure Vector Field.
Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): Primary 53C40; Secondary 53C15, 53D15.

1 INTRODUCTION

A *complex space form* is an n-dimensional Kähler manifold of constant holomorphic sectional curvature *c*. A complete and simply connected complex space form is complex analytically isometric to complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^n$ if $c > 0$, or to complex Euclidean space $\mathbb{C}^n$ if $c = 0$, or to complex hyperbolic space $\mathbb{C}H^n$ if $c < 0$. The complex projective and complex hyperbolic spaces are called *non-flat complex space forms*, since $c \neq 0$ and the symbol $M_n(c)$ is used to denote them when it is not necessary to distinguish them.

A real hypersurface *M* is an immersed submanifold with real co-dimension one in $M_n(c)$. The Kähler structure (J, G), where J is the complex structure and G is the Kähler metric of $M_n(c)$, induces on *M* an almost contact metric structure (φ, ξ, η, g). The vector field ξ is called *structure vector field* and when it is an eigenvector of the shape operator A of *M* the real hypersurface is called *Hopf hypersurface* with corresponding eigenvalue is $\alpha = g(A\xi, \xi)$.

The study of real hypersurfaces *M* in $M_n(c)$ was initiated by Takagi, who classified homogeneous real hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{C}P^n$ and divided them into six types, namely (A_1), (A_2), (B), (C), (D) and (E) ([13], [14]). These real hypersurfaces are Hopf ones with constant principal curvatures. In case of $\mathbb{C}H^n$, the study of real hypersurfaces with constant principal curvatures was started by Montiel in [8] and completed by Berndt in [1]. They are divided into two types, namely (A) and (B), depending on the number of constant principal curvatures and they are homogeneous and Hopf hypersurfaces.

Many geometers have studied real hypersurfaces in non-flat complex space forms when certain geometric conditions are satisfied. An important condition is that of the shape operator A commuting with the structure tensor φ. More precisely, the following Theorem owed to Okumura in case of $\mathbb{C}P^n$ ([11]) and to Montiel and Romero in case of $\mathbb{C}H^n$ ([9]) plays an important role in the proof of other Theorems.

Theorem 1.1 *Let M be a real hypersurface of $M_n(c)$, $n \geq 2$. Then $A\varphi = \varphi A$, if and only if M is locally congruent to a homogeneous real hypersurface of type (A). More precisely*
in case of $\mathbb{C}P^n$
(A_1) a geodesic hypersphere of radius r , where $0 < r < \frac{\pi}{2}$,
(A_2) a tube of radius r over a totally geodesic $\mathbb{C}P^k$,$(1 \leq k \leq n-2)$, where $0 < r < \frac{\pi}{2}$.
In case of $\mathbb{C}H^n$
(A_0) a horosphere in $\mathbb{C}H^n$, i.e a Montiel tube,
(A_1) a geodesic hypersphere or a tube over a totally geodesic complex hyperbolic hyperplane $\mathbb{C}H^{n-1}$,
(A_2) a tube over a totally geodesic $\mathbb{C}H^k$ $(1 \leq k \leq n-2)$.

In [15] Tanno introduced the notion of κ - *nullity distribution* for Riemannian manifolds,

$$N(\kappa) : P \to N_P(\kappa),$$

and $N_P(\kappa)$ is given by

$$N_P(\kappa) = \{Z \in T_PM : R(X,Y)Z = \kappa[g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y]\}, \text{ for any } X, Y \in T_PM.$$

In case of real hypersurfaces in non-flat complex space forms, in [2] and [3] Cho studied real hypersurfaces in $M_n(c)$, $n \geq 3$, whose structure vector field ξ belongs to κ-nullity distribution with κ smooth function. It was proved that such real hypersurfaces are of type (A) and κ is constant.

In [4] the notion of (κ, μ) - *nullity distribution* was introduced in the following way

$$N(\kappa,\mu) : P \to N_P(\kappa,\mu), \text{ with } (\kappa,\mu) \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

and $N_P(\kappa,\mu)$ is given by

$$N_P(\kappa,\mu) = \{Z \in T_PM : R(X,Y)Z = (\kappa I + \mu A)[g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y]\}, \text{for any } X, Y \in T_PM.$$

In the above relation I denotes the identity and A the shape operator of real hypersurface. Furthermore, in [4] it was proved that real hypersurfaces in non-flat complex space forms, whose structure vector field ξ belongs to (κ,μ)-nullity distribution with $(\kappa,\mu) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ are Hopf and classification in case of $(0,\mu)$-nullity distribution and $(\kappa,0)$-nullity distribution with $\alpha \neq 0$ is obtained.

In [6] the notion of (κ,μ,ν)-nullity distribution was introduced and studied for contact metric manifolds. Motivated by their work, in this paper the notion of (κ, μ, ν) - *nullity distribution* is introduced for real hypersurfaces in $M_n(c)$, $n \geq 2$, in the following way

$$N(\kappa,\mu,\nu) : P \to N_P(\kappa,\mu,\nu), \text{ with } \kappa, \mu, \nu \text{ smooth functions}$$

and $N_P(\kappa,\mu,\nu)$ is given by

$$N_P(\kappa,\mu,\nu) = \{Z \in T_PM : R(X,Y)Z = \kappa[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y] + \mu[\eta(Y)AX - \eta(X)AY]$$

$$+\nu[\eta(Y)\varphi AX - \eta(X)\varphi AY]\}, \text{ for any } X, Y \in T_PM.$$

Motivated by the work that so far has been done, the following questions raised naturally

Questions: 1) *Do there exist real hypersurfaces in non-flat complex space forms, whose structure vector field ξ belongs to (κ, μ) - nullity distribution, with (κ, μ) smooth functions?*
2) *Do there exist real hypersurfaces in non-flat complex space forms, whose structure vector field ξ belongs to (κ, μ, ν) - nullity distribution, with κ, μ, ν smooth functions?*

The aim of this paper is first to extend the results in [2] and [3] in case of three dimensional real hypersurfaces in $M_2(c)$. More precisely, the following Theorem is proved.

Theorem 1.2 *Every real hypersurface M in $M_2(c)$, whose structure vector field ξ belongs to κ-nullity distribution is locally congruent either to a real hypersurface of type (A) with κ constant or to a real hypersurface with $A\xi = 0$ and κ constant.*

Next, the first question mentioned above is answered in case of κ, μ are non-constant smooth functions

Theorem 1.3 *There do not exist real hypersurfaces M in $M_n(c)$, $n \geq 2$, whose structure vector field ξ belongs to (κ, μ) - nullity distribution with κ,μ non-constant smooth functions.*

Finally, the following Theorem provides an answer in the second question in case of κ,μ,ν are non-constant smooth functions.

Theorem 1.4 *There do not exist real hypersurfaces M in $M_n(c)$, $n \geq 2$, whose structure vector field ξ belongs to (κ, μ, ν) - nullity distribution with κ, μ, ν non-constant smooth functions.*

This paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 relations and basic results which hold for real hypersurfaces in non-flat complex space forms are presented. In Section 3 the proof of Theorem 1.2. In Section 4 the proof of Theorem 1.3, which generalizes the results obtained in [6] is included. Finally, in Section 5 Theorem 1.4 is proved and at the end of Section open problems for further research are provided.

2 PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper all manifolds, vector fields etc are assumed to be of class C^∞ and all manifolds are assumed to be connected. Furthermore, the real hypersurfaces M are supposed to be without boundary.

Let *M* be a real hypersurface immersed in $(M_n(c), G)$ with complex structure J of constant holomorphic sectional curvature c. Let N be a unit normal vector field on *M* and $\xi = -JN$ the structure vector field of *M* . For any vector field X tangent to *M* relation

$$JX = \varphi X + \eta(X)N$$

holds, where φX and $\eta(X)N$ are respectively the tangential and the normal component of JX. The Riemannian connections $\overline{\nabla}$ in $M_n(c)$ and ∇ in M are related for any vector fields X, Y on M by

$$\overline{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + g(AX, Y)N,$$

where g is the Riemannian metric induced from the metric G.

The shape operator A of the real hypersurface *M* in $M_n(c)$ with respect to N is given by

$$\overline{\nabla}_X N = -AX.$$

The real hypersurface *M* has an almost contact metric structure (φ, ξ, η, g) induced from J on $M_n(c)$, where φ is the *structure tensor* which is a tensor field of type (1,1) and η is an 1-form on *M* such that

$$g(\varphi X, Y) = G(JX, Y), \qquad \eta(X) = g(X, \xi) = G(JX, N).$$

Moreover, the following relations hold

$$\varphi^2 X = -X + \eta(X)\xi, \qquad \eta \circ \varphi = 0, \qquad \varphi\xi = 0, \qquad \eta(\xi) = 1,$$
$$g(\varphi X, \varphi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y), \quad g(X, \varphi Y) = -g(\varphi X, Y).$$

The fact that J is parallel implies $\bar{\nabla} J = 0$. The last relation leads to

$$\nabla_X \xi = \varphi AX, \qquad (\nabla_X \varphi)Y = \eta(Y)AX - g(AX, Y)\xi. \tag{2.1}$$

The ambient space $M_n(c)$ is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature c. Thus, the Gauss and Codazzi equations to are respectively given by

$$R(X, Y)Z = \frac{c}{4}[g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y + g(\varphi Y, Z)\varphi X \tag{2.2}$$
$$-g(\varphi X, Z)\varphi Y - 2g(\varphi X, Y)\varphi Z] + g(AY, Z)AX - g(AX, Z)AY,$$

$$(\nabla_X A)Y - (\nabla_Y A)X = \frac{c}{4}[\eta(X)\varphi Y - \eta(Y)\varphi X - 2g(\varphi X, Y)\xi], \tag{2.3}$$

where R is the Riemannian curvature tensor on *M* and X, Y, Z are any vector fields on *M* .

At every point $P \in M$ the tangent space $T_P M$ is decomposed

$$T_P M = span\{\xi\} \oplus \mathbb{D},$$

where $\mathbb{D} = \ker \eta = \{X \in T_P M : \eta(X) = 0\}$ and is called (*maximal*) *holomorphic distribution*, (if $n \geq 3$). The above relation implies that the vector field $A\xi$ can be written

$$A\xi = \alpha\xi + \beta U,$$

where $\beta = |\varphi\nabla_\xi \xi|$ and $U = -\frac{1}{\beta}\varphi\nabla_\xi \xi \in \ker(\eta)$ is a unit vector field, provided that $\beta \neq 0$.

The following Theorem is owed to Maeda in case of $\mathbb{C}P^n$ [7] and to Montiel [8] in case of $\mathbb{C}H^n$(also Corollary 2.3 in [10]).

Theorem 2.1 *Let M be a Hopf hypersurface in $M_n(c)$, $n \geq 2$. Then*
i) α is constant.
ii) If W is a vector field which belongs to $\mathbb{D}$ such that $AW = \lambda_1 W$, then

$$(\lambda_1 - \frac{\alpha}{2})A\varphi W = (\frac{\lambda_1 \alpha}{2} + \frac{c}{4})\varphi W.$$

iii) If the vector field W satisfies $AW = \lambda_1 W$ and $A\varphi W = \lambda_2 \varphi W$ then

$$\lambda_1\lambda_2 = \frac{\alpha}{2}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) + \frac{c}{4}. \tag{2.4}$$

Remark 2.2 *In case of real hypersurfaces of dimension greater than three the third case of Theorem 2.1 occurs when* $\alpha^2 + c \neq 0$*, since in this case relation* $\lambda_1 \neq \frac{\alpha}{2}$ *holds.*

Remark 2.3 *In case of three dimensional Hopf hypersurfaces it can be always considered a local orthonormal basis* $\{W, \varphi W, \xi\}$ *at some point* $P \in M$ *such that* $AW = \lambda_1 W$ *and* $A\varphi W = \lambda_2 \varphi W$.

2.1 Auxiliary facts about three dimensional real hypersurfaces in complex space forms

Let *M* be a real hypersurface in $M_2(c)$ with local orthonormal basis $\{U, \varphi U, \xi\}$ at some point *P* of M.

Lemma 2.4 *Let M be a non-Hopf real hypersurface in* $M_2(c)$*. The following relations hold on M*

$$\begin{array}{lll} AU = \gamma U + \delta\varphi U + \beta\xi, & A\varphi U = \delta U + \rho\varphi U, & A\xi = \alpha\xi + \beta U, \\ \nabla_U \xi = -\delta U + \gamma\varphi U, & \nabla_{\varphi U}\xi = -\rho U + \delta\varphi U, & \nabla_\xi \xi = \beta\varphi U, \\ \nabla_U U = \kappa_1\varphi U + \delta\xi, & \nabla_{\varphi U} U = \kappa_2\varphi U + \rho\xi, & \nabla_\xi U = \kappa_3\varphi U, \\ \nabla_U \varphi U = -\kappa_1 U - \gamma\xi, & \nabla_{\varphi U}\varphi U = -\kappa_2 U - \delta\xi, & \nabla_\xi \varphi U = -\kappa_3 U - \beta\xi, \end{array} \tag{2.5}$$

where α*,* β*,* $\gamma, \delta, \rho, \kappa_1, \kappa_2, \kappa_3$ *are smooth functions on M and* $\beta \neq 0$*.*

Remark 2.5 *The proof of Lemma 2.4 is included in [12].*

The Codazzi equation (2.3) for $X \in \{U, \varphi U\}$ and $Y = \xi$ because of Lemma 2.4 implies the following relations

$$\xi\delta = \alpha\gamma + \beta\kappa_1 + \delta^2 + \rho\kappa_3 + \frac{c}{4} - \gamma\rho - \gamma\kappa_3 - \beta^2, \tag{2.6}$$

$$(\varphi U)\alpha = \alpha\beta + \beta\kappa_3 - 3\beta\rho, \tag{2.7}$$

$$(\varphi U)\beta = \alpha\gamma + \beta\kappa_1 + 2\delta^2 + \frac{c}{2} - 2\gamma\rho + \alpha\rho, \tag{2.8}$$

and for $X = U$ and $Y = \varphi U$

$$U\delta - (\varphi U)\gamma = \rho\kappa_1 - \kappa_1\gamma - \beta\gamma - 2\delta\kappa_2 - 2\beta\rho. \tag{2.9}$$

3 κ-Nullity Distribution

Let *M* be a real hypersurface in $M_2(c)$, whose structure vector fields ξ belongs to κ - nullity distribution, i.e.

$$R(X,Y)\xi = \kappa[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y], \quad \text{where } \kappa \text{ is a smooth function and } X,Y \in TM. \tag{3.1}$$

Let $\mathcal{N}$ be the open subset of M such that

$$\mathcal{N} = \{P \in M : \beta \neq 0 \text{ in a neighborhood of } P\}.$$

Relation (3.1) for $X = U$ and $Y = \xi$ because of (2.2) and (2.5) implies

$$\delta = 0 \text{ and } \kappa = \frac{c}{4} + \alpha\gamma - \beta^2 \tag{3.2}$$

and for $X = U$ and $Y = \varphi U$ due to (2.2), (2.5) and $\delta = 0$ implies $\rho = 0$. Furthermore, relation (3.1) for $X = \varphi U$ and $Y = \xi$ due to (2.2), (2.5) and $\delta = \rho = 0$ yields $\kappa = \frac{c}{4}$. Combination of the second of (3.2) with the last one results in $\alpha\gamma = \beta^2$. Differentiation of the last one with respect to φU taking into account relations (2.6), (2.7), (2.8), (2.9), $\alpha\gamma = \beta^2$ and $\delta = \rho = 0$ implies $c = 0$, which is a contradiction.

Therefore, $\mathcal{N}$ is empty and the following proposition has been proved

Proposition 3.1 *Every real hypersurface M in* $M_2(c)$ *, whose structure vector field* ξ *satisfies (3.1) is Hopf.*

Since *M* is a Hopf hypersurface Theorem 2.1 and remark 2.3 hold. Thus, relation (3.1) for $X = W$ and $Y = \xi$ and for $X = \varphi W$ and $Y = \xi$, owing to (2.2), $AW = \lambda_1 W$ and $A\varphi W = \lambda_2 \varphi W$ yields respectively

$$\kappa = \frac{c}{4} + \alpha\lambda_1 \text{ and } \kappa = \frac{c}{4} + \alpha\lambda_2.$$

Combination of the latter results in

$$\alpha(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) = 0.$$

If $\alpha = 0$ then in case of $\mathbb{C}P^2$, M is locally congruent to a non-homogeneous real hypersurface considered as a tube of radius $r = \frac{\pi}{4}$ over a holomorphic curve or to a geodesic hypersphere of radius $r = \frac{\pi}{4}$. In case of $\mathbb{C}H^2$, M is locally congruent to a Hopf hypersurface with $A\xi = 0$ (for the construction of such real hypersurfaces see [5]).

If $\alpha \neq 0$ then $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ which implies

$$(A\phi - \phi A)X = 0, \quad \text{for any } X \text{ tangent to } M\ .$$

So due to Theorem 1.1 M is locally congruent to a real hypersurface of type (A).

Conversely, if M is a real hypersurface of type (A), then the shape operator is given by

$$A\xi = \alpha\xi \ \text{ and } \ AW = \lambda W, \ \text{ for any } W \in \mathbb{D} \text{ and } \alpha, \lambda \text{ constants.}$$

Combination of (2.2) with (3.1) for any $X = W \in \mathbb{D}$ and $Y = \xi$ due to $AW = \lambda W$ implies that the structure vector field ξ belongs to κ-nullity distribution when

$$\kappa = \frac{c}{4} + \alpha\lambda.$$

Thus, in case of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ we have $c = 4$ and when M is locally congruent to geodesic hypersphere then $\kappa = \cot^2(r)$.

In case of $\mathbb{C}H^2$ we have $c = -4$ and

- when M is locally congruent to a horosphere, then $\kappa = 1$
- when M is locally congruent to a geodesic hypersphere, then $\kappa = \coth^2(r)$,
- when M is locally congruent to a tube over totally geodesic $\mathbb{C}H^1$, then $\kappa = \tanh^2(r)$.

If M is a Hopf hypersurface with $A\xi = 0$ then following similar steps as above the structure vector field ξ belongs to κ-nullity distribution when

$$\kappa = \frac{c}{4}.$$

So, in case of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ we have $\kappa = 1$ and in case of $\mathbb{C}H^2$ we have $\kappa = -1$.

4 (κ, μ)-Nullity Distribution

Let M be a real hypersurface in $M_n(c)$, $n \geq 2$, whose structure vector field ξ belongs to (κ, μ)- nullity distribution, i.e.

$$R(X,Y)\xi = \kappa[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y] + \mu[\eta(Y)AX - \eta(X)AY], \tag{4.1}$$

with κ, μ non-constant smooth functions.

Consider $\mathcal{N}$ the open subset of M such that

$$\mathcal{N} = \{P \ \in \ M : \ \beta \neq 0 \ \text{ in a neighborhood of } P\}.$$

On $\mathcal{N}$ relation (4.1) for $X = U$ and $Y = \varphi U$ because of (2.2), $A\xi = \alpha\xi + \beta U$ and $\beta \neq 0$ implies $A\varphi U = 0$. Furthermore, relation (4.1) for $X = \varphi U$ and $Y = \xi$ due to the last relation yields $\kappa = \frac{c}{4}$.

Relation $A\varphi U = 0$ results in $g(AU, \varphi U) = g(A\varphi U, U) = 0$. So AU can be written as $AU = \gamma U + \beta\xi + tZ$, where Z is a unit vector field in $\mathbb{D}_U = span\{U, \varphi U, \xi\}^{\perp}$. Moreover, relation (4.1) for $X = U$ and $Y = \xi$, owing to (2.2), the last one and $\kappa = \frac{c}{4}$ yields

$$(\mu\gamma + \beta^2 - \alpha\gamma)U + \mu\beta\xi + (\mu t - \alpha t)Z = 0.$$

The inner product of the above relation with ξ due to $\beta \neq 0$ implies $\mu = 0$ and relation (4.1) becomes

$$R(X,Y)\xi = \kappa[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y].$$

Thus, ξ belongs to a κ-nullity distribution and because of Main Theorem in [2], Lemma 2 in [3] and Theorem 1.2 of the present paper it is proved that $\mathcal{N}$ is empty. Thus,

Proposition 4.1 *Every real hypersurface in $M_n(c)$, $n \geq 2$ whose structure vector field ξ satisfies relation (4.1) is Hopf.*

Since M is a Hopf hypersurface in $M_n(c)$, $n \geq 2$, we consider two cases

Case I: $\alpha^2 + c \neq 0$.

Consider a vector field $W \in \mathbb{D}$ such that $AW = \lambda_1 W$. Then Theorem 2.1 and remark 2.2 hold. So, relation $A\varphi W = \lambda_2 \varphi W$ holds.

So, relation (4.1) for $X = W$ and $Y = \xi$ and for $X = \varphi W$ and $Y = \xi$ because of relation (2.2) respectively yields

$$\kappa + \mu\lambda_1 = \frac{c}{4} + \alpha\lambda_1 \text{ and } \kappa + \mu\lambda_2 = \frac{c}{4} + \alpha\lambda_2.$$

Combination of the above relations implies

$$(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)(\alpha - \mu) = 0.$$

Suppose that $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ then $\mu = \alpha$. Substitution of the latter in $\kappa + \mu\lambda_1 = \frac{c}{4} + \alpha\lambda_1$ results in $\kappa = \frac{c}{4}$, which is a contradiction.

Therefore, on M $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ which implies

$$(A\varphi - \varphi A)X = 0, \text{ for any } X \text{ tangent to } M .$$

So, because of Theorem 1.1 M is locally congruent to a real hypersurface of type (A).

Case II: $\alpha^2 + c = 0$.

In this case the ambient space is $\mathbb{C}H^n$, $n \geq 2$ and the above relation implies that $\alpha \neq 0$. First suppose that $\lambda_1 \neq \frac{\alpha}{2}$. Then relation (2.4) yields $\lambda_2 = \frac{\alpha}{2}$. Following similar steps as in previous case we obtain

$$(\lambda_1 - \frac{\alpha}{2})(\alpha - \mu) = 0.$$

Since, $\lambda_1 \neq \frac{\alpha}{2}$, then $\mu = \alpha$, which is contradiction.

So $\lambda_1 = \frac{\alpha}{2}$ is the only eigenvalue in $\mathbb{D}$ and M is locally congruent to a horosphere.

Conversely, if M is a real hypersurface of type (A) then M has either two or three constant principal curvatures and the maximal holomorphic distribution is φ-invariant. First, suppose that M has two constant principal curvatures, then the shape operator is given by

$$A\xi = \alpha\xi \text{ and } AW = \lambda W, \text{ for any W} \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Then the structure vector field ξ belongs to (κ,μ)-nullity distribution when

$$\kappa = \frac{c}{4} \text{ and } \mu = \alpha.$$

Indeed combination of relation (2.2) with (4.1) because of the form of the shape operator yields

$$\frac{c}{4} + \alpha\lambda = \kappa + \mu\lambda.$$

The above two polynomials of λ are equal when $\kappa = \frac{c}{4}$ and $\mu = \alpha$, which is a contradiction.

Finally, suppose that M has three distinct constant principal curvatures. Then the shape operator is given by

$$A\xi = \alpha\xi, \quad AW_1 = t_1 W \text{ and } AW_2 = t_2 W_2, \quad W_1, W_2 \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Then the structure vector field ξ belongs to (κ,μ)-nullity distribution when

$$\kappa = \frac{c}{4} \text{ and } \mu = \alpha.$$

Indeed combination of relation (2.2) with (4.1) due to the form of the shape operator implies

$$\frac{c}{4} + \alpha t_1 = \kappa + \mu t_1 \text{ and } \frac{c}{4} + \alpha t_2 = \kappa + \mu t_2.$$

Combining the last two relation and taking into account that $t_1 \neq t_2$ results in $\kappa = \frac{c}{4}$ and $\mu = \alpha$, which is a contradiction and this completes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

5 (κ, μ, ν) - Nullity Distribution

Let M be a real hypersurface in $M_n(c)$, $n \geq 2$, whose structure vector field ξ belongs to (κ, μ, ν)- nullity distribution, i.e.

$$R(X,Y)\xi = \kappa[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y] + \mu[\eta(Y)AX - \eta(X)AY] + \nu[\eta(Y)\varphi AX - \eta(X)\varphi AY], \tag{5.1}$$

where κ, μ, ν are non-constant smooth functions.

Let $\mathcal{N}$ be the open subset of *M* such that

$$\mathcal{N} = \{P \in M : \beta \neq 0 \text{ in a neighborhood of } P\}.$$

On $\mathcal{N}$ relation (5.1) for $X = U$ and $Y = \varphi U$ because of (2.2), $A\xi = \alpha\xi + \beta U$ and $\beta \neq 0$ implies $A\varphi U = 0$. Furthermore, relation (5.1) for $X = \varphi U$ and $Y = \xi$ due to the last relation yields $\kappa = \frac{c}{4}$.

Relation $A\varphi U = 0$ results in $g(AU, \varphi U) = g(A\varphi U, U) = 0$. So AU can be written as $AU = \gamma U + \beta\xi + tZ$, where Z is a unit vector field in $\mathbb{D}_U = span\{U, \varphi U, \xi\}^{\perp}$. Moreover, relation (5.1) for $X = U$ and $Y = \xi$, owing to (2.2), the last one and $\kappa = \frac{c}{4}$ yields

$$(\mu\gamma + \beta^2 - \alpha\gamma)U + \nu\gamma\varphi U + \mu\beta\xi + (\mu t - \alpha t)Z + \nu t\varphi Z = 0. \tag{5.2}$$

The inner product of the above relation with ξ since $\beta \neq 0$ implies $\mu = 0$ and with φU yields $\nu\gamma = 0$. If $\nu \neq 0$ then $\gamma = 0$. The inner product of (5.2) with U because of $\mu = \gamma = 0$ results in $\beta = 0$, which is a contradiction.

So on $\mathcal{N}$ relation $\nu = 0$ holds and relation (5.1) becomes

$$R(X,Y)\xi = \kappa[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y].$$

Thus, ξ belongs to a κ-nullity distribution and because of Main Theorem in [2],Lemma 2 in [3] and Theorem 1.2 of the present paper it is concluded that $\mathcal{N}$ is empty and the following Proposition has been proved

Proposition 5.1 *Every real hypersurface in $M_n(c)$, $n \geq 2$, whose structure vector field ξ satisfies relation (5.1) is Hopf.*

Since *M* is a Hopf hypersurface in $M_n(c)$, $n \geq 2$, two cases are considered

Case I: $\alpha^2 + c \neq 0$.

Let W be a vector field which belongs to $\mathbb{D}$ such that $AW = \lambda_1 W$. In this case $\lambda_1 \neq \frac{\alpha}{2}$, so $A\varphi W = \lambda_2\varphi W$ and relations of Theorem 2.1 and remark 2.2 hold.

The inner product of relation (5.1) for $X = W$ and $Y = \xi$ with φW and for $X = \varphi W$ and $Y = \xi$ with W because of (2.2) and the above relations respectively yields

$$\nu\lambda_1 = 0 \text{ and } \nu\lambda_2 = 0.$$

Combination of the last two relations results in

$$\nu(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) = 0.$$

Suppose that $\nu \neq 0$ then $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ and relation $\nu\lambda_1 = 0$ results in $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$. Substitution of the latter in (2.4) implies $c = 0$, which is a contradiction.

Therefore, on *M* relation $\nu = 0$ holds and (5.1) becomes

$$R(X,Y)\xi = \kappa[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y] + \mu[\eta(Y)AX - \eta(X)AY].$$

Thus, the structure vector field ξ belongs to (κ, μ)-nullity distribution, with κ,μ non-constant smooth functions.

Case II: $\alpha^2 + c = 0$.

In this case the ambient space is $\mathbb{C}H^n$, $n \geq 2$, and the above relation implies that $\alpha \neq 0$. First suppose that $\lambda_1 \neq \frac{\alpha}{2}$. Then relation (2.4) yields $\lambda_2 = \frac{\alpha}{2}$. The inner product of relation (5.1) for $X = \varphi W$ and $Y = \xi$ with W because of (2.2) and $\lambda_2 = \frac{\alpha}{2}$ results in $\nu = 0$. Thus, the structure vector field ξ belongs to (κ,μ)-nullity distribution, with κ,μ non-constant smooth functions. In previous section it has been proved that such real hypersurfaces in $M_n(c)$, $n \geq 2$, do not exist.

So $\lambda_1 = \frac{\alpha}{2}$ is the only eigenvalue in $\mathbb{D}$ and *M* is locally congruent to a horosphere. The inner product of relation (5.1) for $X = W$ and $Y = \xi$ with φW because of (2.2) and $\lambda_1 = \frac{\alpha}{2}$ results in $\nu = 0$. Thus, the structure vector field ξ belongs to (κ,μ)-nullity distribution, with κ,μ non-constant smooth functions. Therefore, because of Theorem 1.3 the proof of Theorem 1.4 is completed.

Remark 5.2 *Let M be a real hypersurface in $M_n(c)$,$n \geq 2$, whose structure vector field ξ belongs to (κ, μ, ν)-nullity distributions with κ,μ,ν constants. Then following similar steps as in the proof of Theorem 1.4 is proved*

Corollary 5.3 *Every real hypersurface in $M_n(c)$,$n \geq 2$, whose structure vector ξ belongs to (κ, μ, ν)-nullity distribution with κ,μ,ν constants is Hopf.*

Moreover, following similar steps to those of Hopf case in proof of Theorem 1.4 it is concluded that

Corollary 5.4 *Let M be a real hypersurface in $M_n(c)$,$n \geq 2$, whose structure vector ξ belongs to (κ, μ, ν)-nullity distribution with κ,μ,ν constants. Then, ξ belongs to (κ, μ)-nullity distribution, with κ, μ constants and $\nu = 0$.*

Open Problems

- Firstly, it should be interesting to provide a complete classification of real hypersurfaces in non-flat complex space forms, whose structure vector field ξ belongs to (κ, μ)-nullity distribution with κ,μ constants.
- Another interesting issue is to examine

  if there exist real hypersurfaces in complex two-plane Grassmannians or complex hyperbolic two-plane Grassmannians (symmetric spaces of rank 2) whose structure vector field ξ belongs to κ or (κ,μ) or (κ,μ,ν)-nullity distributions.
- Finally, it is known that the complex two-plane Grassmannians and the complex hyperbolic two-plane Grassmannians are equipped apart from the Kähler structure J are also equipped with a quaternionic Kähler structure $\mathfrak{J}$ with local orthonormal basis $\{J_1, J_2, J_3\}$ which induces on *M* an almost contact metric 3-structure $(\varphi_i, \xi_i, \eta_i, g)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ where $\xi_i = -J_i N$ and N is the unit normal vector field on *M* . Thus, another interesting issue is to examine

  if there exist real hypersurfaces in complex two-plane Grassmannians or complex hyperbolic two-plane Grassmannians whose ξ_i, $i = 1, 2, 3$,. belongs to κ- or (κ,μ)- or (κ,μ,ν)-nullity distribution.

  Furthermore, on *M* we can define the **(κ,μ,ν)$_i$-nullity distribution** in the following way

$$N(\kappa, \mu, \nu) : P \to N_P(\kappa, \mu, \nu), \quad \text{where } \kappa, \mu, \nu \text{ are functions}$$

  and $N_P(\kappa, \mu, \nu)$ is given by

$$N_P(\kappa, \mu, \nu) = \{Z \in T_P M : R(X, Y)Z = \kappa[\eta_i(Y)X - \eta_i(X)Y] + \mu[\eta_i(Y)AX - \eta_i(X)AY]$$
$$+\nu[\eta_i(Y)\varphi_i AX - \eta_i(X)\varphi_i AY]\}, \quad \text{for any } X, Y \in T_P M \text{ and } i = 1, 2, 3.$$

  So the following questions raises naturally

  Are there real hypersurfaces in the above spaces whose structure vector field ξ belongs to (κ,μ,ν)$_i$-nullity distribution?

  Are there real hypersurfaces in the above spaces whose ξ_i belongs to (κ,μ,ν)$_i$-nullity distribution?

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Prof. Ph. J. Xenos for his comments on the paper.

References

[1] J. Berndt, Real hypersurfaces with constant principal curvatures in complex hyperbolic space, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **395** (1989), 132-141.

[2] J. T. Cho and U-H. Ki, Real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space satisfying a pointwise nullity condition, *Tsukuba J. Math.*, **29** (1999), 279-291.

[3] J. T. Cho, Real hypersurfaces of a complex hyperbolic space satisfying a pointwise nullity condition, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **31** (2000), 265-276.

[4] J. T. Cho and M. Kimura, Curvature of Hopf hypersurfaces in a complex space form, *Results Math.*, **61** (2012), 127-135.

[5] T. A. Ivey and P. J. Ryan, Hopf hypersurfaces of small Hopf principal curvature in $\mathbb{C}H^2$, *Geom. Dedicata*, **141** (2009), 147-161.

[6] T. Koufogiorgos, M. Markellos and V. J. Papantoniou, The harmonicity of the Reeb vector field on contact metric 3-manifolds, *Pacific J. Math.*, **234** (2008), 325-344.

[7] Maeda Y., On real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **28** (1976), 529-540.

[8] S. Montiel, Real hypersurfaces of a complex hyperbolic space, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **35** (1985), 515-535.

[9] S. Montiel and A. Romero, On some real hypersurfaces of a complex hyperbolic space, *Geom. Dedicata*, **20** (1986), 245-261.

[10] R. Niebergall and P. J. Ryan, Real hypersurfaces in complex space forms, *Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ.*, **32** (1997), 233-305.

[11] M. Okumura, On some real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **212** (1975), 355-364.

[12] K. Panagiotidou and Ph. J. Xenos, Real hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{C}P^2$ and $\mathbb{C}H^2$ whose structure Jacobi operator is Lie $\mathbb{D}$-parallel, *Note Mat.*, **32** (2012), 89-99.

[13] R. Takagi, On homogeneous real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space, *Osaka J. Math.*, **10** (1973), 495-506.

[14] R. Takagi, Real hypersurfaces in complex projective space with constant principal curvatures, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **27** (1975), 43-53.

[15] S. Tanno, Ricci curvatures of contact Riemannian manifolds, *Tohoku Math. J.*, **40** (1988), 441-448.

K. PANAGIOTIDOU, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND ENGINEERING SCIENCES, HELLENIC MILITARY ACADEMY, VARI, ATTIKI, GREECE
E-MAIL: konpanagiotidou@gmail.com